VIEJAS BAND OF KUMEYAAY INDIANS TRIBAL CODE

RULES OF EVIDENCE

Enacted on 03-01-2018

Table of Contents

| Section 1 – G | eneral Provisions | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| 1.01 | Scope | |
| 1.02 | Purpose and Interpretation | |
| 1.03 | Rulings on Evidence | |
| 1.04 | Preliminary Questions1 | |
| 1.05 | Limited Admissibility | |
| 1.06 | Remainder of or Related Writings or Recorded Statements | |
| Section 2 – Judicial Notice | | |
| 2.01 | Scope | |
| 2.02 | Kinds of Facts That May Be Judicially Noticed2 | |
| 2.03 | Taking Notice | |
| 2.04 | Timing2 | |
| 2.05 | Opportunity to Be Heard | |
| Section 3 – Presumptions | | |
| Section 4 – Relevance and its Limits | | |
| 4.01 | Test for Relevant Evidence | |
| 4.02 | Admissibility of Relevant Evidence | |
| 4.03 | Exclusion of Relevant Evidence for Prejudice, Confusion, Waste of Time, or Other Reasons | |
| 4.04 | Character Evidence | |
| 4.05 | Methods of Proving Character | |
| 4.06 | Habit and Routine Practice | |
| 4.07 | Subsequent Remedial Measures | |
| 4.08 | Compromise Offers and Negotiations | |

| 4.09 | Offers to Pay Medical and Similar Expenses | . 5 |
|------------------------|--|-----|
| 4.10 | Pleas, Plea Discussions, and Related Statements | . 5 |
| 4.1 | Liability Insurance | . 5 |
| Section 5 – Privileges | | . 5 |
| 5.0 | Recognized Privileges | . 5 |
| 5.02 | 2 Exemption from Privilege for Crime or Fraud | . 6 |
| 5.02 | 3 Waivers of Privilege | . 6 |
| 5.04 | Presumption That Certain Communications Are Confidential | . 6 |
| 5.05 | 5 Exemption from Privilege for Proceedings amongst Privilege Holders | . 7 |
| 5.00 | 6 Attorney-Client Privilege and Work Product; Limitations on Waiver | . 7 |
| Section 6 – | Witnesses | . 8 |
| 6.0 | Competency in General | . 8 |
| 6.02 | 2 Disqualification of witness | . 8 |
| 6.02 | 3 Personal Knowledge | . 8 |
| 6.04 | 4 Oath or Affirmation | . 8 |
| 6.03 | 5 Interpreter | . 8 |
| 6.00 | 5 Judge as Witness | . 8 |
| 6.0 | 7 Who May Impeach a Witness | . 8 |
| 6.08 | A Witnesses Character for Truthfulness or Untruthfulness | . 8 |
| 6.09 | 9 Impeachment by Evidence of a Criminal Conviction | . 9 |
| 6.10 | Religious Beliefs or Opinions | . 9 |
| 6.1 | Mode and Order of Examining Witnesses and Presenting Evidence | 10 |
| 6.12 | 2 Writing Used to Refresh a Witness | 10 |
| 6.13 | 3 Witness's Prior Statement | 10 |
| 6.14 | 4 Court's Calling or Examining a Witness | 11 |
| 6.1 | 5 Excluding Witnesses | 11 |
| Section 7 – | Opinions and Expert Testimony | 11 |
| 7.0 | Opinion Testimony by Lay Witnesses | 11 |
| 7.02 | 2 Testimony by Expert Witnesses | 11 |
| 7.03 | Bases of an Expert's Opinion Testimony | 12 |
| 7.04 | 4 Opinion on Ultimate Issue | 12 |
| 7.05 | 5 Disclosing the Facts or Data Underlying an Expert | 12 |
| 7.00 | 6 Court-Appointed Expert Witnesses | 12 |

| Section 8 – Hearsay | | |
|--|--|----|
| 8.01 | Definitions that Apply to this Article; Exclusions from Hearsay | |
| 8.02 | The Rule Against Hearsay | |
| 8.03 | Exceptions to the Rule Against Hearsay – Regardless of Whether the Declarant is Available as a Witness | 14 |
| 8.04 | Hearsay Exceptions; Declarant Unavailable | 17 |
| 8.05 | Hearsay Within Hearsay | |
| 8.06 | Attacking and Supporting the Declarant's Credibility | |
| 8.07 | Residual Exception | |
| Section 9 – Authentication and Identification | | |
| 9.01 | Authenticating or Identifying Evidence | |
| 9.02 | Evidence that is Self-Authenticating | |
| 9.03 | Subscribing Witness's Testimony | |
| Section 10 – Writings, Recordings, and Photographs | | |
| 10.01 | Definitions | |
| 10.02 | Originals | |
| 10.03 | Admissibility of Duplicates | |
| 10.04 | Admissibility of Other Evidence of Content | |
| 10.05 | Copies of Public Records to Prove Content | |
| 10.06 | Summaries to Prove Content | |
| 10.07 | Testimony or Statement of a Party to Prove Content | |

VIEJAS BAND OF KUMEYAAY INDIANS TRIBAL CODE

RULES OF EVIDENCE

Enacted on 03-01-2018

Section 1 – General Provisions

- **1.01** Scope. These rules, adopted by the Viejas Band, shall constitute the rules of evidence in all proceedings in the Viejas Tribal and shall become effective upon approval by Tribal Council.
- **1.02 Purpose and Interpretation**. These rules should be construed so as to administer every proceeding fairly, eliminate unjustifiable expense and delay, and to ascertain the truth and secure a just determination.
- **1.03** Rulings on Evidence.
 - (A) **Preserving a Claim of Error**. A party may claim error in a ruling to admit or exclude evidence only if the error affects a substantial right of the party and:
 - (I) If the ruling admits evidence, a party, on the record:
 - (a) Timely objects or moves to strike; and
 - (b) States the specific ground, unless it was apparent from the context; or
 - (II) If the ruling excludes evidence, a party informs the court of its substance by an offer of proof, unless the substance was apparent from the context.
 - (B) **Not Needing to Renew an Objection or Offer of Proof.** Once the court rules definitively on the record either before or at trial a party need not renew an objection or offer of proof to preserve a claim of error for appeal.
 - (C) **Court's Statement About the Ruling; Directing an Offer of Proof**. The court may make any statement about the character or form of the evidence, the objection made, and the ruling. The court may direct that an offer of proof be made in question-and-answer form.
 - (D) **Taking Notice of Plain Error**. A court may take notice of a plain error affecting a substantial right, even if the claim of error was not properly preserved.

1.04 Preliminary Questions.

(A) **In General**. The court must decide any preliminary question about whether a witness is qualified, a privilege exists, or evidence is admissible. In so deciding,

the court is not bound by evidence rules, except those on privilege.

- (B) **Relevance That Depends on a Fact**. When the relevance of evidence depends on whether a fact exists, proof must be introduced sufficient to support a finding that the fact does exist. The court may admit the proposed evidence on the condition that the proof be introduced later.
- (C) **Evidence Relevant to Weight and Credibility**. This rule does not limit a party's right to introduce evidence that is relevant to the weight or credibility of other evidence.
- **1.05** Limited Admissibility. If the court admits evidence that is admissible against a party or for a purpose but not against another party or for another purpose the court, on timely request, must restrict the evidence to its proper scope.
- **1.06 Remainder of or Related Writings or Recorded Statements**. If a party introduces all or part of a writing or recorded statement, an adverse party may require the introduction, at that time, of any other part or any other writing or recorded statement that in fairness ought to be considered at the same time.

Section 2 – Judicial Notice

- **2.01** Scope. This rule governs judicial notice of an adjudicative fact only, not a legislative fact.
- **2.02** Kinds of Facts That May Be Judicially Noticed. The court may judicially notice a fact that is not subject to reasonable dispute because it:
 - (A) Is generally known within the court's territorial jurisdiction; or
 - (B) Can be accurately and readily determined from sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned.
- 2.03 Taking Notice. The court:
 - (A) May take judicial notice on its own; or
 - (B) Must take judicial notice if a party requests it and the court is supplied with the necessary information.
- **2.04 Timing**. The court may take judicial notice at any stage of the proceeding.
- **2.05 Opportunity to Be Heard**. On timely request, a party is entitled to be heard on the propriety of taking judicial notice and the nature of the fact to be noticed. If the court takes judicial notice before notifying a party, the party, on request, is still entitled to be heard.

Section 3 – Presumptions

In a civil case, unless a Tribal Law or these rules provide otherwise, the party against whom a presumption is directed has the burden of producing evidence to rebut the presumption. But this rule does not shift the burden of persuasion, which remains on the party who had it originally.

Section 4 – Relevance and its Limits

4.01 Test for Relevant Evidence. Evidence is Relevant if:

- (A) It has any tendency to make a fact more or less probable than it would be without the evidence; and
- (B) The fact is of consequence in determining the action.

4.02 Admissibility of Relevant Evidence.

- (A) Relevant evidence is admissible unless otherwise provided by Tribal Law or these rules.
- (B) Irrelevant evidence is not admissible.
- **4.03** Exclusion of Relevant Evidence for Prejudice, Confusion, Waste of Time, or Other Reasons. The court may exclude relevant evidence if its probative value is substantially outweighed by a danger of one or more of the following: unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, misleading the fact finder, undue delay, wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence.

4.04 Character Evidence.

(A) **Character Evidence Generally**.

- (I) Prohibited Uses. Evidence of a person's character or character trait is not admissible to prove that on a particular occasion the person acted in accordance with the character or trait.
- (II) Exceptions for a Witness. Evidence of a witness's character may be admitted under Rules 6.5, 6.6, and 6.7

(B) **Crimes, Wrongs, or Other Acts**.

- (I) Prohibited Uses. Evidence of a crime, wrong, or other act is not admissible to prove a person's character in order to show that on a particular occasion the person acted in accordance with the character.
- (II) Permitted Uses. This evidence may be admissible for another purpose, such as proving motive, opportunity, intent, preparation, plan, knowledge, identity, absence of mistake, or lack of accident.

4.05 Methods of Proving Character.

- (A) By Reputation or Opinion. When evidence of a person's character or character trait is admissible, it may be proved by testimony about the person's reputation or by testimony in the form of an opinion. On cross-examination of the character witness, the court may allow an inquiry into relevant specific instances of the person's conduct.
- (B) **By Specific Instances of Conduct**. When a person's character or character trait is an essential element of a charge, claim, or defense, the character or trait may also be proved by relevant specific instances of the person's conduct.
- **4.06 Habit and Routine Practice**. Evidence of a person's habit or an organization's routine practice may be admitted to prove that on a particular occasion the person or organization acted in accordance with the habit or routine practice. The court may admit this evidence regardless of whether it is corroborated or whether there was an eyewitness.
- **4.07** Subsequent Remedial Measures. When measures are taken that would have made an earlier injury or harm less likely to occur, evidence of the subsequent measures is not admissible to prove:
 - (A) negligence;
 - (B) culpable conduct;
 - (C) a defect in a product or its design; or
 - (D) a need for a warning or instruction.
 - (E) But the court may admit this evidence for another purpose, such as impeachment or — if disputed — proving ownership, control, or the feasibility of precautionary measures.

4.08 Compromise Offers and Negotiations.

- (A) **Prohibited Uses**. Evidence of the following is not admissible on behalf of any party either to prove or disprove the validity or amount of a disputed claim or to impeach by a prior inconsistent statement or a contradiction:
 - (I) furnishing, promising, or offering or accepting, promising to accept, or offering to accept — a valuable consideration in compromising or attempting to compromise the claim; and
 - (II) conduct or a statement made during compromise negotiations about the claim.
- (B) **Exceptions**. The court may admit this evidence for another purpose, such as proving a witness's bias or prejudice, or negating a contention of undue delay.

4.09 Offers to Pay Medical and Similar Expenses. Evidence of furnishing, promising to pay, or offering to pay medical, hospital, or similar expenses resulting from an injury is not admissible to prove liability for the injury.

4.10 Pleas, Plea Discussions, and Related Statements.

- (A) **Prohibited Uses**. Evidence of the following is not admissible against the defendant who made the plea or participated in the plea discussions:
 - (I) a guilty plea that was later withdrawn;
 - (II) a nolo contendere plea;
 - (III) a statement made during a proceeding on either of those pleas; or
 - (IV) a statement made during plea discussions with an attorney for the prosecuting authority if the discussions did not result in a guilty plea or they resulted in a later-withdrawn guilty plea.
- (B) Exceptions. The court may admit a statement described in Rule 4.10 (A)(3) or (4) in any proceeding in which another statement made during the same plea or plea discussions has been introduced, if in fairness the statements ought to be considered together.
- **4.11 Liability Insurance**. Evidence that a person was or was not insured against liability is not admissible to prove whether the person acted negligently or otherwise wrongfully.

Section 5 – Privileges

- 5.01 **Recognized Privileges**. The court shall recognize the following privileges:
 - (A) Attorney Client;
 - (B) Doctor Patient;
 - (C) Psychotherapist Patient;
 - (D) Sexual assault victim Counselor;
 - (E) Domestic Violence victim Counselor;
 - (F) Spouse Spouse;
 - (I) The Spouse Spouse privilege includes both:
 - (a) A privilege not to testify in any proceeding against a spouse, held by the would-be witness during the marriage; and
 - (b) A privilege against disclosing communications made in confidence between the spouses and a privilege to prevent disclosure of the same by the other spouse, held by each spouse during and after the marriage.

- (G) Clergymen Penitent;
- (H) Secret ballot in political vote; and
- (I) Trade secrets.
- **5.02** Exemption from Privilege for Crime or Fraud. There is no privilege under these Rules of Evidence if the evidence at issue were made, sought, or obtained to enable or aid anyone to commit or plan to commit a crime or a fraud.

5.03 Waivers of Privilege.

(A) Except as otherwise provided in this Section, the right of any person to claim a privilege provided in Section 5.01 is waived with respect to a communication protected by such privilege if any holder of the privilege, without coercion, has disclosed a significant part of the communication or has consented to such disclosure made by anyone.

Consent to disclosure is manifested by any statement or other conduct of the holder of the privilege indicating consent to the disclosure, including failure to claim the privilege in any proceeding in which the holder has the legal standing and opportunity to claim the privilege.

- (B) Where two or more persons are joint holders of a privilege provided by Section 5.01 a waiver of the right of a particular joint holder of the privilege to claim the privilege does not affect the right of another joint holder to claim the privilege. In the case of the privilege for confidential marital communications, a waiver of the right of one spouse to claim the privilege does not affect the right of the other spouse to claim the privilege.
- (C) A disclosure that is itself privileged is not a waiver of any privilege.
- (D) A disclosure in confidence of a communication that is protected by a privilege provided by Attorney – Client privilege, Doctor – Patient privilege, Psychotherapist – Patient privilege, Sexual assault victim – Counselor privilege, when such disclosure is reasonably necessary for the accomplishment of the purpose for which the lawyer, physician, psychotherapist, or sexual assault counselor was consulted, is not a waiver of the privilege.
- **5.04 Presumption That Certain Communications Are Confidential**. Whenever a privilege is claimed on the ground that the matter sought to be disclosed is a communication made in confidence in the course of the Attorney Client, Doctor Patient, Psychotherapist Patient, Clergyman Penitent, or Spouse Spouse relationship, the communication is presumed to have been made in confidence and the opponent of the claim of privilege has the burden of proof to establish that the communication was not confidential.

- **5.05** Exemption from Privilege for Proceedings amongst Privilege Holders. There is no privilege under these Rules of Evidence if the proceeding is brought by or on behalf of one privilege holder against another privilege holder.
- **5.06** Attorney-Client Privilege and Work Product; Limitations on Waiver. The following provisions apply, in the circumstances set out, to disclosure of a communication or information covered by the attorney-client privilege or work-product protection.
 - (A) **Definitions**. In this rule:
 - (I) "attorney-client privilege" means the protection that applicable law provides for confidential attorney-client communications; and
 - (II) "work-product protection" means the protection that applicable law provides for tangible material (or its intangible equivalent) prepared in anticipation of litigation or for trial.
 - (B) Compulsory Disclosure. When disclosure of information subject to the attorneyclient privilege or attorney work-product production is compelled by to federal, state, or tribal court order in a prior proceeding, evidence of such disclosure is not admissible against the holder of the privilege or work-product protection to compel disclosure in subsequent proceedings.

In complying with a prior federal, state, or tribal court order compelling disclosure of information subject to the attorney-client privilege or attorney work-product protection, the holder of the privilege or work-product protection does not waive the right to re-assert the attorney-client privilege or attorney work-product production in subsequent proceedings.

- (C) **Intentional Disclosure**. When disclosure of information subject to the attorneyclient privilege or attorney work-product production is made intentionally by the holder of the privilege or work product-protection, evidence of such prior intentional disclosure is admissible against the holder of the privilege or workproduct protection to compel disclosure in subsequent proceedings.
- (D) **Unintentional Disclosure**. When disclosure of information subject to the attorney-client privilege or attorney work-product production is made unintentionally by any person, including the holder of the privilege or work product-protection, the disclosure does not operate as a waiver if:
 - (I) the disclosure is inadvertent;
 - (II) the holder of the privilege or protection took reasonable steps to prevent disclosure; and
 - (III) the holder promptly took reasonable steps to rectify the error.
- (E) **Effect of Party Agreement**. An agreement on the effect of disclosure is binding only on the parties to the agreement, unless it is incorporated into a court order.

Section 6 – Witnesses

6.01 Competency in General. Every person is competent to be a witness unless disqualified.

6.02 Disqualification of witness.

- (A) A person is disqualified to be a witness if he or she is:
 - (I) Incapable of expressing himself or herself concerning the matter so as to be understood, either directly or through interpretation by one who can understand the witness; or
 - (II) Incapable of understanding the duty of a witness to tell the truth.
- (B) The court may reserve challenges to the competency of a witness until the conclusion of the direct examination of that witness.
- **6.03 Personal Knowledge**. A witness may testify to a matter only if evidence is introduced sufficient to support a finding that the witness has personal knowledge of the matter. Evidence to prove personal knowledge may consist of the witness's own testimony. This rule does not apply to a witness's expert testimony under Rule 7.3.
- **6.04 Oath or Affirmation**. Before testifying, a witness must give an oath or affirmation to testify truthfully. It must be in a form designed to impress that duty on the witness's conscience.
- **6.05 Interpreter**. An interpreter must be qualified and must give an oath or affirmation to make a true translation.
- **6.06** Judge as Witness. The presiding judge may not testify as a witness at the trial. A party need not object to preserve the issue.
- **6.07** Who May Impeach a Witness. Any party, including the party that called the witness, may attack the witness's credibility.

6.08 A Witnesses Character for Truthfulness or Untruthfulness.

- (A) Reputation or Opinion Evidence. A witness's credibility may be attacked or supported by testimony about the witness's reputation for having a character for truthfulness or untruthfulness, or by testimony in the form of an opinion about that character. But evidence of truthful character is admissible only after the witness's character for truthfulness has been attacked.
- (B) Specific Instances of Conduct. Except for a criminal conviction under Rule 6.8, extrinsic evidence is not admissible to prove specific instances of a witness's conduct in order to attack or support the witness's character for truthfulness. But the court may, on cross-examination, allow them to be inquired into if they are probative of the character for truthfulness or untruthfulness of:

- (I) the witness; or
- (II) another witness whose character the witness being cross-examined has testified about.

By testifying on another matter, a witness does not waive any privilege against self-incrimination for testimony that relates only to the witness's character for truthfulness.

6.09 Impeachment by Evidence of a Criminal Conviction.

- (A) **In General**. The following rules apply to attacking a witness's character for truthfulness by evidence of a criminal conviction:
 - (I) for a crime that, in the convicting jurisdiction, was punishable by death or by imprisonment for more than one year, the evidence must be admitted.
 - (II) for any crime regardless of the punishment, the evidence must be admitted if the court can readily determine that establishing the elements of the crime required proving — or the witness's admitting — a dishonest act or false statement.
- (B) Limit on Using the Evidence After 10 Years. This subdivision (B) applies if more than 10 years have passed since the witness's conviction or release from confinement for it, whichever is later. Evidence of the conviction is admissible only if:
 - (I) its probative value, supported by specific facts and circumstances, substantially outweighs its prejudicial effect; and
 - (II) the proponent gives an adverse party reasonable written notice of the intent to use it so that the party has a fair opportunity to contest its use.
- (C) **Effect of a Pardon, Annulment, or Certificate of Rehabilitation**. Evidence of a conviction is not admissible if:
 - (I) the conviction has been the subject of a pardon, annulment, certificate of rehabilitation, or other equivalent procedure based on a finding that the person has been rehabilitated, and the person has not been convicted of a later crime punishable by death or by imprisonment for more than one year; or
 - (II) the conviction has been the subject of a pardon, annulment, or other equivalent procedure based on a finding of innocence.
- (D) **Juvenile Adjudications**. Evidence of a juvenile adjudication is not admissible under this rule.
- (E) **Pendency of an Appeal**. A conviction that satisfies this rule is admissible even if an appeal is pending. Evidence of the pendency is also admissible.
- **6.10** Religious Beliefs or Opinions. Evidence of a witness's religious beliefs or opinions is not admissible to attack or support the witness's credibility.

6.11 Mode and Order of Examining Witnesses and Presenting Evidence.

- (A) **Control by the Court; Purposes**. The court should exercise reasonable control over the mode and order of examining witnesses and presenting evidence so as to:
 - (I) make those procedures effective for determining the truth;
 - (II) avoid wasting time; and
 - (III) protect witnesses from harassment or undue embarrassment.
- (B) **Scope of Cross-Examination**. Cross-examination should not go beyond the subject matter of the direct examination and matters affecting the witness's credibility. The court may allow inquiry into additional matters as if on direct examination.
- (C) **Leading Questions**. Leading questions should not be used on direct examination except as necessary to develop the witness's testimony. Ordinarily, the court should allow leading questions:
 - (I) on cross-examination; and
 - (II) when a party calls a hostile witness, an adverse party, or a witness identified with an adverse party.

6.12 Writing Used to Refresh a Witness.

- (A) **Scope**. This rule gives an adverse party certain options when a witness uses a writing to refresh memory:
 - (I) while testifying; or
 - (II) before testifying, if the court decides that justice requires the party to have those options.
- (B) Adverse Party's Options; Deleting Unrelated Matter. An adverse party is entitled to have the writing produced at the hearing, to inspect it, to cross-examine the witness about it, and to introduce in evidence any portion that relates to the witness's testimony. If the producing party claims that the writing includes unrelated matter, the court must examine the writing in camera, delete any unrelated portion, and order that the rest be delivered to the adverse party. Any portion deleted over objection must be preserved for the record.
- (C) **Failure to Produce or Deliver the Writing**. If a writing is not produced or is not delivered as ordered, the court may issue any appropriate order.

6.13 Witness's Prior Statement.

(A) **Showing or Disclosing the Statement During Examination**. When examining a witness about the witness's prior statement, a party need not show it or disclose its contents to the witness. But the party must, on request, show it or disclose its contents to an adverse party's attorney.

(B) Extrinsic Evidence of a Prior Inconsistent Statement. Extrinsic evidence of a witness's prior inconsistent statement is admissible only if the witness is given an opportunity to explain or deny the statement and an adverse party is given an opportunity to examine the witness about it, or if justice so requires. This subdivision (b) does not apply to an opposing party's statement under Rule 8.1(D)(2).

6.14 Court's Calling or Examining a Witness.

- (A) **Calling**. The court may call a witness on its own or at a party's request. Each party is entitled to cross-examine the witness.
- (B) **Examining**. The court may examine a witness regardless of who calls the witness.
- (C) **Objections**. A party may object to the court's calling or examining a witness.
- **6.15 Excluding Witnesses**. At a party's request, the court must order witnesses excluded so that they cannot hear other witnesses' testimony. Or the court may do so on its own. But this rule does not authorize excluding:
 - (A) a party who is a natural person;
 - (B) an officer or employee of a party that is not a natural person, after being designated as the party's representative by its attorney;
 - (C) a person whose presence a party shows to be essential to presenting the party's claim or defense; or
 - (D) a person authorized by Tribal Law to be present.

Section 7 – Opinions and Expert Testimony

- **7.01 Opinion Testimony by Lay Witnesses**. If a witness is not testifying as an expert, testimony in the form of an opinion is limited to one that is:
 - (A) rationally based on the witness's perception;
 - (B) helpful to clearly understanding the witness's testimony or to determining a fact in issue; and
 - (C) not based on scientific, technical, or other specialized knowledge within the scope of Rule 7.2.
- **7.02 Testimony by Expert Witnesses**. A witness who is qualified as an expert by knowledge, skill, experience, training, or education may testify in the form of an opinion

or otherwise if:

- (A) the expert's scientific, technical, or other specialized knowledge will help the trier of fact to understand the evidence or to determine a fact in issue;
- (B) the testimony is based on sufficient facts or data;
- (C) the testimony is the product of reliable principles and methods; and
- (D) the expert has reliably applied the principles and methods to the facts of the case.
- **7.03 Bases of an Expert's Opinion Testimony**. An expert may base an opinion on facts or data in the case that the expert has been made aware of or personally observed. If experts in the particular field would reasonably rely on those kinds of facts or data in forming an opinion on the subject, they need not be admissible for the opinion to be admitted. But if the facts or data would otherwise be inadmissible, the facts or data are only admissible if their probative value in helping the court evaluate the opinion substantially outweighs their prejudicial effect.
- **7.04 Opinion on Ultimate Issue**. An opinion is not objectionable just because it embraces an ultimate issue.
- **7.05** Disclosing the Facts or Data Underlying an Expert. Unless the court orders otherwise, an expert may state an opinion and give the reasons for it without first testifying to the underlying facts or data. But the expert may be required to disclose those facts or data on cross-examination.

7.06 Court-Appointed Expert Witnesses.

- (A) Appointment Process. On a party's motion or on its own motion, the court may order the parties to show cause why expert witnesses should not be appointed and may ask the parties to submit nominations. The court may appoint any expert that the parties agree on and any of its own choosing. But the court may only appoint someone who consents to act.
- (B) **Expert's Role**. The court must inform the expert of the expert's duties. The court may do so in writing and have a copy filed with the clerk or may do so orally at a conference in which the parties have an opportunity to participate. The expert:
 - (I) must advise the parties of any findings the expert makes;
 - (II) may be deposed by any party;
 - (III) may be called to testify by the court or any party; and
 - (IV) may be cross-examined by any party, including the party that called the expert.
- (C) **Compensation**. The expert is entitled to a reasonable compensation, as set by the

court. The compensation is payable by the parties in the proportion and at the time that the court directs — and the compensation is then charged like other costs.

(D) **Parties' Choice of Their Own Experts**. This rule does not limit a party in calling its own experts.

Section 8 - Hearsay

- **8.01** Definitions that Apply to this Article; Exclusions from Hearsay. The following definitions apply under this article:
 - (A) **Statement**. "Statement" means a person's oral assertion, written assertion, or nonverbal conduct, if the person intended it as an assertion.
 - (B) **Declarant**. "Declarant" means the person who made the statement.
 - (C) **Hearsay**. "Hearsay" means a statement that:
 - (I) the declarant does not make while testifying at the current trial or hearing; and,
 - (II) a party offers in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted in the statement.
 - (D) **Statements That Are Not Hearsay**. A statement that meets the following conditions is not hearsay:
 - (I) *A Declarant-Witness's Prior Statement*. The declarant testifies and is subject to cross-examination about a prior statement, and the statement:
 - (a) is inconsistent with the declarant's testimony and was given under penalty of perjury at a trial, hearing, or other proceeding or in a deposition;
 - (b) is consistent with the declarant's testimony and is offered:
 - (i) to rebut an express or implied charge that the declarant recently fabricated it or acted from a recent improper influence or motive in so testifying; or
 - (ii) to rehabilitate the declarant's credibility as a witness when attacked on another ground; or
 - (c) identifies a person as someone the declarant perceived earlier.
 - (II) An Opposing Party's Statement. The statement is offered against an opposing party and:
 - (a) was made by the party in an individual or representative capacity;
 - (b) is one the party manifested that it adopted or believed to be true;
 - (c) was made by a person whom the party authorized to make a statement on the subject;
 - (d) was made by the party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and while it existed; or
 - (e) was made by the party's coconspirator during and in furtherance of

the conspiracy.

The statement must be considered but does not by itself establish the declarant's authority under (c); the existence or scope of the relationship under (d); or the existence of the conspiracy or participation in it under (e).

- **8.02** The Rule Against Hearsay. Hearsay is not admissible except as provided in these rules or under Tribal Law.
- **8.03** Exceptions to the Rule Against Hearsay Regardless of Whether the Declarant is Available as a Witness. The following are not excluded by the rule against hearsay, regardless of whether the declarant is available as a witness:
 - (A) *Present Sense Impression*. A statement describing or explaining an event or condition, made while or immediately after the declarant perceived it.
 - (B) *Excited Utterance*. A statement relating to a startling event or condition, made while the declarant was under the stress of excitement that it caused.
 - (C) Then-Existing Mental, Emotional, or Physical Condition. A statement of the declarant's then-existing state of mind (such as motive, intent, or plan) or emotional, sensory, or physical condition (such as mental feeling, pain, or bodily health), but not including a statement of memory or belief to prove the fact remembered or believed unless it relates to the validity or terms of the declarant's will.
 - (D) Statement Made for Medical Diagnosis or Treatment. A statement that:
 - (I) is made for and is reasonably pertinent to medical diagnosis or treatment; and
 - (II) describes medical history; past or present symptoms or sensations; their inception; or their general cause.
 - (E) *Recorded Recollection*. A record that:
 - (I) is on a matter the witness once knew about but now cannot recall well enough to testify fully and accurately;
 - (II) was made or adopted by the witness when the matter was fresh in the witness's memory; and
 - (III) accurately reflects the witness's knowledge.

If admitted, the record may be read into evidence but may be received as an exhibit only if offered by an adverse party.

- (F) *Records of a Regularly Conducted Activity.* A record of an act, event, condition, opinion, or diagnosis if:
 - (I) the record was made at or near the time by or from information transmitted by someone with knowledge;
 - (II) the record was kept in the course of a regularly conducted activity of a business, organization, occupation, or calling, whether or not for profit;

- (III) making the record was a regular practice of that activity;
- (IV) all these conditions are shown by the testimony of the custodian or another qualified witness, or by a certification that complies with Rule 9.2(K) or (L) or with a statute permitting certification; and
- (V) the opponent does not show that the source of information or or the method or circumstances of preparation indicate a lack of trustworthiness.
- (G) Absence of a Record of a Regularly Conducted Activity. Evidence that a matter is not included in a record described in paragraph (F) if:
 - (I) the evidence is admitted to prove that the matter did not occur or exist;
 - (II) a record was regularly kept for a matter of that kind; and
 - (III) the opponent does not show that the possible source of the information or other circumstances indicate a lack of trustworthiness.
- (H) *Public Records*. A record or statement of a public office if:
 - (I) it sets out:
 - (a) the office's activities;
 - (b) a matter observed while under a legal duty to report; or
 - (c) factual findings from a legally authorized investigation; and
 - (II) the opponent does not show that the source of information or other circumstances indicate a lack of trustworthiness.
- (I) *Public Records of Vital Statistics*. A record of a birth, death, or marriage, if reported to a public office in accordance with a legal duty.
- (J) *Absence of a Public Record.* Testimony or a certification under Rule 9.2 that a diligent search failed to disclose a public record or statement if:
 - (I) the testimony or certification is admitted to prove that
 - (a) the record or statement does not exist; or
 - (b) a matter did not occur or exist, if a public office regularly kept a record or statement for a matter of that kind.
- (K) Records of Religious Organizations Concerning Personal or Family History. A statement of birth, legitimacy, ancestry, marriage, divorce, death, relationship by blood or marriage, or similar facts of personal or family history, contained in a regularly kept record of a religious organization.
- (L) *Certificates of Marriage, Baptism, and Similar Ceremonies.* A statement of fact contained in a certificate:
 - (I) made by a person who is authorized by a religious organization or by law to perform the act certified;
 - (II) attesting that the person performed a marriage or similar ceremony or administered a sacrament; and
 - (III) purporting to have been issued at the time of the act or within a reasonable time after it.

- (M) *Family Records*. A statement of fact about personal or family history contained in a family record, such as a Bible, genealogy, chart, engraving on a ring, inscription on a portrait, or engraving on an urn or burial marker.
- (N) *Records of Documents That Affect an Interest in Property.* The record of a document that purports to establish or affect an interest in property if:
 - (I) the record is admitted to prove the content of the original recorded document, along with its signing and its delivery by each person who purports to have signed it;
 - (II) the record is kept in a public office; and
 - (III) a statute authorizes recording documents of that kind in that office.
- (O) Statements in Documents That Affect an Interest in Property. A statement contained in a document that purports to establish or affect an interest in property if the matter stated was relevant to the document's purpose unless later dealings with the property are inconsistent with the truth of the statement or the purport of the document.
- (P) *Statements in Ancient Documents*. A statement in a document that is at least 20 years old and whose authenticity is established.
- (Q) *Market Reports and Similar Commercial Publications*. Market quotations, lists, directories, or other compilations that are generally relied on by the public or by persons in particular occupations.
- (R) *Statements in Learned Treatises, Periodicals, or Pamphlets.* A statement contained in a treatise, periodical, or pamphlet if:
 - (I) the statement is called to the attention of an expert witness on crossexamination or relied on by the expert on direct examination; and
 - (II) the publication is established as a reliable authority by the expert's admission or testimony, by another expert's testimony, or by judicial notice.

If admitted, the statement may be read into evidence but not received as an exhibit.

- (S) Reputation Concerning Personal or Family History. A reputation among a person's family by blood, adoption, or marriage — or among a person's associates or in the community — concerning the person's birth, adoption, legitimacy, ancestry, marriage, divorce, death, relationship by blood, adoption, or marriage, or similar facts of personal or family history.
- (T) Reputation Concerning Boundaries or General History. A reputation in a community — arising before the controversy — concerning boundaries of land in the community or customs that affect the land, or concerning general historical events important to that community, state, or nation.

- (U) *Reputation Concerning Character*. A reputation among a person's associates or in the community concerning the person's character.
- (V) *Judgment of a Previous Conviction*. Evidence of a final judgment of conviction if:
 - (I) the judgment was entered after a trial or guilty plea, but not a nolo contendere plea;
 - (II) the conviction was for a crime punishable by death or by imprisonment for more than a year;
 - (III) the evidence is admitted to prove any fact essential to the judgment; and
 - (IV) when offered by the prosecutor in a criminal case for a purpose other than impeachment, the judgment was against the defendant.

The pendency of an appeal may be shown but does not affect admissibility.

- (W) Judgments Involving Personal, Family, or General History, or a Boundary. A judgment that is admitted to prove a matter of personal, family, or general history, or boundaries, if the matter:
 - (I) was essential to the judgment; and
 - (II) could be proved by evidence of reputation.

8.04 Hearsay Exceptions; Declarant Unavailable.

- (A) **Criteria for Being Unavailable**. A declarant is considered to be unavailable as a witness if the declarant:
 - (I) is exempted from testifying about the subject matter of the declarant's statement because the court rules that a privilege applies;
 - (II) refuses to testify about the subject matter despite a court order to do so;
 - (III) testifies to not remembering the subject matter;
 - (IV) cannot be present or testify at the trial or hearing because of death or a then-existing infirmity, physical illness, or mental illness; or
 - (V) is absent from the trial or hearing and the statement's proponent has not been able, by process or other reasonable means, to procure:
 - (a) the declarant's attendance, in the case of a hearsay exception under Rule 8.4(B)(1) or (6); or
 - (b) the declarant's attendance or testimony, in the case of a hearsay exception under Rule 8.4(B)(2), (3), or (4).

But this subdivision (A) does not apply if the statement's proponent procured or wrongfully caused the declarant's unavailability as a witness in order to prevent the declarant from attending or testifying.

- (B) **The Exceptions**. The following are not excluded by the rule against hearsay if the declarant is unavailable as a witness:
 - (I) *Former Testimony*. Testimony that:
 - (a) was given as a witness at a trial, hearing, or lawful deposition, whether given during the current proceeding or a different one; and
 - (b) is now offered against a party who had, or whose predecessor in

interest had, an opportunity and similar motive to develop it by direct, cross-, or redirect examination.

- (II) *Statement Under the Belief of Imminent Death.* A statement that the declarant, while believing the declarant's death to be imminent, made about its cause or circumstances.
- (III) Statement Against Interest. A statement that:
 - (a) a reasonable person in the declarant's position would have made only if the person believed it to be true because, when made, it was so contrary to the declarant's proprietary or pecuniary interest or had so great a tendency to invalidate the declarant's claim against someone else or to expose the declarant to civil or criminal liability; and
 - (b) is supported by corroborating circumstances that clearly indicate its trustworthiness.
- (IV) Statement of Personal or Family History. A statement about:
 - (a) the declarant's own birth, adoption, legitimacy, ancestry, marriage, divorce, relationship by blood, adoption, or marriage, or similar facts of personal or family history, even though the declarant had no way of acquiring personal knowledge about that fact; or
 - (b) another person concerning any of these facts, as well as death, if the declarant was related to the person by blood, adoption, or marriage or was so intimately associated with the person's family that the declarant's information is likely to be accurate.
- (V) Statement Offered Against a Party That Wrongfully Caused the Declarant's Unavailability. A statement offered against a party that wrongfully caused — or acquiesced in wrongfully causing — the declarant's unavailability as a witness, and did so intending that result.
- **8.05** Hearsay Within Hearsay. Hearsay within hearsay is not excluded by the rule against hearsay if each part of the combined statements conforms with an exception to the rule.
- **8.06** Attacking and Supporting the Declarant's Credibility. When a hearsay statement or a statement described in Rule 8.1(D)(2)(c), (d), or (e) has been admitted in evidence, the declarant's credibility may be attacked, and then supported, by any evidence that would be admissible for those purposes if the declarant had testified as a witness. The court may admit evidence of the declarant's inconsistent statement or conduct, regardless of when it occurred or whether the declarant had an opportunity to explain or deny it. If the party against whom the statement was admitted calls the declarant as a witness, the party may examine the declarant on the statement as if on cross-examination.

8.07 Residual Exception.

(A) **In General**. Under the following circumstances, a hearsay statement is not excluded by the rule against hearsay even if the statement is not specifically covered by a hearsay exception in Rule 8.3 or 8.4:

- (I) the statement has equivalent circumstantial guarantees of trustworthiness;
- (II) it is offered as evidence of a material fact;
- (III) it is more probative on the point for which it is offered than any other evidence that the proponent can obtain through reasonable efforts; and
- (IV) admitting it will best serve the purposes of these rules and the interests of justice.
- (B) **Notice**. The statement is admissible only if, before the trial or hearing, the proponent gives an adverse party reasonable notice of the intent to offer the statement and its particulars, including the declarant's name and address, so that the party has a fair opportunity to meet it.

Section 9 – Authentication and Identification

9.01 Authenticating or Identifying Evidence.

- (A) **In General**. To satisfy the requirement of authenticating or identifying an item of evidence, the proponent must produce evidence sufficient to support a finding that the item is what the proponent claims it is.
- (B) **Examples**. The following are examples only not a complete list of evidence that satisfies the requirement:
 - (I) *Testimony of a Witness with Knowledge*. Testimony that an item is what it is claimed to be.
 - (II) *Nonexpert Opinion About Handwriting*. A nonexpert's opinion that handwriting is genuine, based on a familiarity with it that was not acquired for the current litigation.
 - (III) *Comparison by an Expert Witness or the Trier of Fact.* A comparison with an authenticated specimen by an expert witness or the trier of fact.
 - (IV) *Distinctive Characteristics and the Like*. The appearance, contents, substance, internal patterns, or other distinctive characteristics of the item, taken together with all the circumstances.
 - (V) Opinion About a Voice. An opinion identifying a person's voice whether heard firsthand or through mechanical or electronic transmission or recording — based on hearing the voice at any time under circumstances that connect it with the alleged speaker.
 - (VI) *Evidence About a Telephone Conversation*. For a telephone conversation, evidence that a call was made to the number assigned at the time to:
 - (a) a particular person, if circumstances, including self-identification, show that the person answering was the one called; or
 - (b) a particular business, if the call was made to a business and the call related to business reasonably transacted over the telephone.
 - (VII) Evidence About Public Records. Evidence that:
 - (a) a document was recorded or filed in a public office as authorized by law; or
 - (b) a purported public record or statement is from the office where

items of this kind are kept.

- (VIII) *Evidence About Ancient Documents or Data Compilations*. For a document or data compilation, evidence that it:
 - (a) is in a condition that creates no suspicion about its authenticity;
 - (b) was in a place where, if authentic, it would likely be; and
 - (c) is at least 20 years old when offered.
- (IX) *Evidence About a Process or System*. Evidence describing a process or system and showing that it produces an accurate result.
- (X) *Methods Provided by a Statute or Rule*. Any method of authentication or identification allowed by a Tribal Rule or a rule prescribed by the Supreme Court.
- **9.02** Evidence that is Self-Authenticating. The following items of evidence are self-authenticating; they require no extrinsic evidence of authenticity in order to be admitted:
 - (A) **Domestic Public Documents That Are Sealed and Signed**. A document that bears:
 - (I) a seal purporting to be that of the United States; any state, district, commonwealth, territory, or insular possession of the United States; the former Panama Canal Zone; the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands; a political subdivision of any of these entities; or a department, agency, or officer of any entity named above; and
 - (II) a signature purporting to be an execution or attestation.
 - (B) **Domestic Public Documents That Are Not Sealed but Are Signed and Certified.** A document that bears no seal if:
 - (I) it bears the signature of an officer or employee of an entity named in Rule 9.2(A)(1); and
 - (II) another public officer who has a seal and official duties within that same entity certifies under seal — or its equivalent — that the signer has the official capacity and that the signature is genuine.
 - (C) Foreign Public Documents. A document that purports to be signed or attested by a person who is authorized by a foreign country's law to do so. The document must be accompanied by a final certification that certifies the genuineness of the signature and official position of the signer or attester — or of any foreign official whose certificate of genuineness relates to the signature or attestation or is in a chain of certificates of genuineness relating to the signature or attestation. The certification may be made by a secretary of a United States embassy or legation; by a consul general, vice consul, or consular agent of the United States; or by a diplomatic or consular official of the foreign country assigned or accredited to the United States. If all parties have been given a reasonable opportunity to investigate the document's authenticity and accuracy, the court may, for good cause, either:
 - (I) order that it be treated as presumptively authentic without final certification; or

- (II) allow it to be evidenced by an attested summary with or without final certification.
- (D) **Certified Copies of Public Records.** A copy of an official record or a copy of a document that was recorded or filed in a public office as authorized by law if the copy is certified as correct by:
 - (I) the custodian or another person authorized to make the certification; or
 - (II) a certificate that complies with Rule 9.2(A), (B), or (C), a Tribal Rule, or a rule prescribed by the Supreme Court.
- (E) **Official Publications**. A book, pamphlet, or other publication purporting to be issued by a public authority.
- (F) **Newspapers and Periodicals**. Printed material purporting to be a newspaper or periodical.
- (G) **Trade Inscriptions and the Like**. An inscription, sign, tag, or label purporting to have been affixed in the course of business and indicating origin, ownership, or control.
- (H) **Acknowledged Documents**. A document accompanied by a certificate of acknowledgment that is lawfully executed by a notary public or another officer who is authorized to take acknowledgments.
- (I) **Commercial Paper and Related Documents**. Commercial paper, a signature on it, and related documents, to the extent allowed by general commercial law.
- (J) **Presumptions Under a Federal or State Statue, or Tribal Rule**. A signature, document, or anything else that a federal or state statute or tribal rule declares to be presumptively or prima facie genuine or authentic.
- (K) Certified Domestic Records of a Regularly Conducted Activity. The original or a copy of a domestic record that meets the requirements of Rule 8.3(F)(1)-(3), as shown by a certification of the custodian or another qualified person that complies with a federal statute or a rule prescribed by the Supreme Court. Before the trial or hearing, the proponent must give an adverse party reasonable written notice of the intent to offer the record — and must make the record and certification available for inspection — so that the party has a fair opportunity to challenge them.
- (L) **Certified Foreign Records of a Regularly Conducted Activity**. The original or a copy of a foreign record that meets the requirements of Rule 9.2(K), modified as follows: the certification, rather than complying with a federal statute or Supreme Court rule, must be signed in a manner that, if falsely made, would subject the maker to a criminal penalty in the country where the certification is signed. The proponent must also meet the notice requirements of Rule 9.2(K).

9.03 Subscribing Witness's Testimony. A subscribing witness's testimony is necessary to authenticate a writing only if required by the law of the jurisdiction that governs its validity.

Section 10 – Writings, Recordings, and Photographs

10.01 Definitions. In this article:

- (A) A "writing" consists of letters, words, numbers, or their equivalent set down in any form.
- (B) A "recording" consists of letters, words, numbers, or their equivalent recorded in any manner.
- (C) A "photograph" means a photographic image or its equivalent stored in any form.
- (D) An "original" of a writing or recording means the writing or recording itself or any counterpart intended to have the same effect by the person who executed or issued it. For electronically stored information, "original" means any printout or other output readable by sight — if it accurately reflects the information. An "original" of a photograph includes the negative or a print from it.
- (E) A "duplicate" means a counterpart produced by a mechanical, photographic, chemical, electronic, or other equivalent process or technique that accurately reproduces the original.
- **10.02** Originals. An original writing, recording, or photograph is required in order to prove its content unless these rules or a federal statute provides otherwise.
- **10.03** Admissibility of Duplicates. A duplicate is admissible to the same extent as the original unless a genuine question is raised about the original's authenticity or the circumstances make it unfair to admit the duplicate.
- **10.04** Admissibility of Other Evidence of Content. An original is not required and other evidence of the content of a writing, recording, or photograph is admissible if:
 - (A) all the originals are lost or destroyed, and not by the proponent acting in bad faith;
 - (B) an original cannot be obtained by any available judicial process;
 - (C) the party against whom the original would be offered had control of the original; was at that time put on notice, by pleadings or otherwise, that the original would be a subject of proof at the trial or hearing; and fails to produce it at the trial or hearing; or

- (D) the writing, recording, or photograph is not closely related to a controlling issue.
- **10.05** Copies of Public Records to Prove Content. The proponent may use a copy to prove the content of an official record — or of a document that was recorded or filed in a public office as authorized by law — if these conditions are met: the record or document is otherwise admissible; and the copy is certified as correct in accordance with Rule 9.2(D) or is testified to be correct by a witness who has compared it with the original. If no such copy can be obtained by reasonable diligence, then the proponent may use other evidence to prove the content.
- **10.06** Summaries to Prove Content. The proponent may use a summary, chart, or calculation to prove the content of voluminous writings, recordings, or photographs that cannot be conveniently examined in court. The proponent must make the originals or duplicates available for examination or copying, or both, by other parties at a reasonable time and place. And the court may order the proponent to produce them in court.
- **10.07** Testimony or Statement of a Party to Prove Content. The proponent may prove the content of a writing, recording, or photograph by the testimony, deposition, or written statement of the party against whom the evidence is offered. The proponent need not account for the original.



P. O. Box 908 Alpine, CA 91903 # 1 Viejas Grade Road Alpine, CA 91901

Phone: 619.445.3810 Fax: 619.445.5337 viejas.com

Robert J. Welch, Jr., Chairman Victor E. Woods, Vice Chairman Rene Curo, Tribal Secretary Samuel Q. Brown, Tribal Treasurer Adrian M. Brown, Councilman Gabriel T. TeSam, Jr., Councilman Kevin M. Carrizosa, Councilman

TRIBAL COUNCIL RESOLUTION APPROVING AND ADOPTING VIEJAS RULES OF EVIDENCE

Resolution No. 0301183

WHEREAS, the Viejas Band of Kumeyaay Indians, (appearing in the U.S. Federal Register as the Capitan Grande Band of Diegueno Mission Indians of California: Viejas (Baron Long) Group of Capitan Grande Band of Mission Indians of the Viejas Reservation, California) (the "Viejas Band") is a self-governing federally recognized Indian Tribe exercising sovereign authority over the lands of the Viejas Indian Reservation;

WHEREAS, the Viejas Band is governed by a duly elected Tribal Council (the "Tribal Council");

WHEREAS, an inherent power of the Viejas Band as a sovereign government is the power to formalize official dispute resolution through a tribal court and mandate structure for its evaluation of evidence; and

WHEREAS, the Viejas Band wishes to adopt the Viejas Rules of Evidence to give structure and guidance to such tribal court and the evidence which comes before it; and

WHEREAS, the Tribal Council has reviewed the Viejas Rules of Evidence attached as Exhibit A and desires that it shall take effect.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE TRIBAL COUNCIL HEREBY CERTIFIES AND DULY APPROVES AND AUTHORIZES, AFTER MOTION AND UPON THE VOTE OF THE MAJORITY OF COUNCIL MEMBERS, THE FOLLOWING:

The Tribal Council hereby adopts and approves the Viejas Rules of Evidence, attached as Exhibit A.

Tribal Council Resolution Adopting the Viejas Rules of Evidence No.: <u>030 8 13</u> Page 2 of 2

Any prior Rules of Evidence, whether or not it conflicts with this Viejas Rules of Evidence, is hereby rescinded.

CERTIFICATION

8 MINN

Resolution passed this 15^{+} day of 100^{-} , 2018, at a duly noticed meeting of the Viejas Tribal Council by a vote of 10^{-} for 10^{-} against and 10^{-} abstaining.

SER

Robert J. Welch, Jr., Chairman

nan Victor E Woods, Vice Chairman

Rene Curo, Tribal Secretary

ene

Samuel Q. Brown, Tribal Treasurer

Adrian M. Brown, Councilman

Gabriel T. TeSam, Jr., Councilman

Kevin M. Carrizosa, Councilman